Characterization of universal 2-qubit Hamiltonians

Laura Mancinska, Andrew Childs, Debbie Leung and Maris Ozols

University of Waterloo, IQC

# Outline

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Non-universal gate case studies
- 3. Transformations that preserve universality
- 4. Proving universality
- 5. Summary and open questions

# Introduction

Suppose we can implement 2-qubit Hamiltonian H.

Suppose we can implement 2-qubit Hamiltonian H. Then we assume that we can also implement THT, where

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Suppose we can implement 2-qubit Hamiltonian H. Then we assume that we can also implement THT, where

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Example

$$H = \frac{\phi}{2}\sigma_z \otimes I + \frac{\theta}{2}I \otimes \sigma_x$$

Suppose we can implement 2-qubit Hamiltonian H. Then we assume that we can also implement THT, where

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Example

$$H = \frac{\phi}{2}\sigma_z \otimes I + \frac{\theta}{2}I \otimes \sigma_x$$
$$THT = \frac{\phi}{2}I \otimes \sigma_z + \frac{\theta}{2}\sigma_x \otimes I$$

Definition

2-qubit Hamiltonian H is universal if we can simulate any unitary  $U\in \mathcal{U}(4)$  using H and THT.

#### Definition

2-qubit Hamiltonian H is universal if we can *simulate* any unitary  $U \in \mathcal{U}(4)$  using H and THT.

Simulate U means - for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist  $t_1, \ldots, t_n \ge 0$  s.t.

$$\left\|U - e^{-iHt_1}e^{-iTHTt_2}e^{-iHt_3}\dots e^{-iTHTt_n}\right\| < \varepsilon$$

#### Definition

2-qubit Hamiltonian H is universal if we can *simulate* any unitary  $U \in \mathcal{U}(4)$  using H and THT.

Simulate U means - for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist  $t_1, \ldots, t_n \ge 0$  s.t.

$$\left\|U - e^{-iHt_1}e^{-iTHTt_2}e^{-iHt_3}\dots e^{-iTHTt_n}\right\| < \varepsilon$$

Note that

ancilla is not given

#### Definition

2-qubit Hamiltonian H is universal if we can *simulate* any unitary  $U \in \mathcal{U}(4)$  using H and THT.

Simulate U means - for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist  $t_1, \ldots, t_n \ge 0$  s.t.

$$\left\|U - e^{-iHt_1}e^{-iTHTt_2}e^{-iHt_3}\dots e^{-iTHTt_n}\right\| < \varepsilon$$

Note that

- ancilla is not given
- one qubit gates are not given

#### Definition

2-qubit Hamiltonian H is universal if we can *simulate* any unitary  $U \in \mathcal{U}(4)$  using H and THT.

Simulate U means - for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist  $t_1, \ldots, t_n \ge 0$  s.t.

$$\left\|U - e^{-iHt_1}e^{-iTHTt_2}e^{-iHt_3}\dots e^{-iTHTt_n}\right\| < \varepsilon$$

Note that

- ancilla is not given
- one qubit gates are not given
- simulation of entire  $\mathcal{U}(4)$  is required

2-qubit Hamiltonian H is universal if we can *simulate* any unitary  $U \in \mathcal{U}(4)$  using H and THT.

2-qubit Hamiltonian H is universal if we can *simulate* any unitary  $U \in \mathcal{U}(4)$  using H and THT.

Question Which 2-qubit Hamiltonians are universal?

2-qubit Hamiltonian H is universal if we can *simulate* any unitary  $U \in \mathcal{U}(4)$  using H and THT.

Question Which 2-qubit Hamiltonians are universal?

What kind of answer do we want?

- Finite list of conditions
- Effectively checkable

2-qubit Hamiltonian H is universal if we can *simulate* any unitary  $U \in \mathcal{U}(4)$  using H and THT.

Question Which 2-qubit Hamiltonians are universal?

What kind of answer do we want?

- Finite list of conditions
- Effectively checkable

Previous results Almost any 2-qubit Hamiltonian is universal. [Lloyd '95; Deutsch, Barenco, Eckert '95]

# Non-universal gate case studies

Definition Unitary  $U \in \mathcal{U}(4)$  corresponds to 2-qubit Hamiltonian H if

 $U = e^{-iH}$ 

Definition Unitary  $U \in \mathcal{U}(4)$  corresponds to 2-qubit Hamiltonian H if

$$U = e^{-iH}$$

Exponentiating Hamiltonian  $H = \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} |v_{i}\rangle \langle v_{i}|$  $e^{-iH} = \sum_{i} e^{-i\lambda_{i}} |v_{i}\rangle \langle v_{i}|$ 

Definition Unitary  $U \in \mathcal{U}(4)$  corresponds to 2-qubit Hamiltonian H if

$$U = e^{-iH}$$

Exponentiating Hamiltonian  $H = \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} |v_{i}\rangle \langle v_{i}|$ 

$$e^{-iH} = \sum_{i} e^{-i\lambda_{i}} |v_{i}\rangle \langle v_{i}|$$
$$e^{-iH} = I + \frac{-iH}{1!} + \frac{(-iH)^{2}}{2!} + \frac{(-iH)^{3}}{3!} + \dots$$

Definition Unitary  $U \in \mathcal{U}(4)$  corresponds to 2-qubit Hamiltonian H if

$$U = e^{-iH}$$

Exponentiating Hamiltonian  $H = \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \left| v_{i} \right\rangle \left\langle v_{i} \right|$ 

$$e^{-iH} = \sum_{i} e^{-i\lambda_{i}} |v_{i}\rangle \langle v_{i}|$$
$$e^{-iH} = I + \frac{-iH}{1!} + \frac{(-iH)^{2}}{2!} + \frac{(-iH)^{3}}{3!} + \dots$$

#### Definition

We say unitary U is universal if the corresponding Hamiltonian is universal.

If we can implement unitary  $U = e^{-iH}$ , then we can also implement

•  $U^t$  for all real  $t \ge 0$ , as  $U^t = \left(e^{-iH}\right)^t = e^{-iHt}$ 

If we can implement unitary  $U = e^{-iH}$ , then we can also implement

- $U^t$  for all real  $t \ge 0$ , as  $U^t = (e^{-iH})^t = e^{-iHt}$
- ▶  $TU^{t}T$  for all real  $t \ge 0$ , as  $TU^{t}T = Te^{-iHt}T = e^{-iTHTt}$

If we can implement unitary  $U = e^{-iH}$ , then we can also implement

- $U^t$  for all real  $t \ge 0$ , as  $U^t = \left(e^{-iH}\right)^t = e^{-iHt}$
- ▶  $TU^{t}T$  for all real  $t \ge 0$ , as  $TU^{t}T = Te^{-iHt}T = e^{-iTHTt}$

Can you think of a clearly non-universal unitary?

If we can implement unitary  $U = e^{-iH}$ , then we can also implement

- $U^t$  for all real  $t \ge 0$ , as  $U^t = (e^{-iH})^t = e^{-iHt}$
- ▶  $TU^{t}T$  for all real  $t \ge 0$ , as  $TU^{t}T = Te^{-iHt}T = e^{-iTHTt}$

Can you think of a clearly non-universal unitary?

• Consider  $U = A \otimes B$ 

$$U^t = A^t \otimes B^t$$

If we can implement unitary  $U = e^{-iH}$ , then we can also implement

- $U^t$  for all real  $t \ge 0$ , as  $U^t = (e^{-iH})^t = e^{-iHt}$
- ▶  $TU^{t}T$  for all real  $t \ge 0$ , as  $TU^{t}T = Te^{-iHt}T = e^{-iTHTt}$

Can you think of a clearly non-universal unitary?

• Consider  $U = A \otimes B$ 

$$U^{t} = A^{t} \otimes B^{t}$$
$$TU^{t}T = T(A^{t} \otimes B^{t})T = B^{t} \otimes A^{t}$$

We can implement:  $U^t, TU^tT \ \forall t \ge 0$ , where  $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

$$\blacktriangleright \text{ Consider } U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & U(3) \\ 0 & U(3) \end{pmatrix}$$

We can implement:  $U^t, TU^tT \ \forall t \ge 0$ , where  $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

• Consider 
$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & U(3) \\ 0 & U^t & |00\rangle = |00\rangle$$

We can implement:  $U^t, TU^tT \ \forall t \ge 0$ , where  $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

► Consider 
$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & U(3) \\ 0 & U^t & |00\rangle = |00\rangle$$
  
 $TU^t T & |00\rangle = |00\rangle$ 

We can implement:  $U^t, TU^tT \ \forall t \ge 0$ , where  $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

• Consider 
$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & U(3) \\ 0 & U^t & |00\rangle = |00\rangle$$
  
 $TU^t T & |00\rangle = |00\rangle$ 

Generalization: U and T have a common eigenvector

We can implement:  $U^t, TU^tT \ \forall t \ge 0$ , where  $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

• Consider 
$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & U(3) \\ 0 & U^t & |00\rangle = |00\rangle$$
  
 $TU^t T & |00\rangle = |00\rangle$ 

Generalization: U and T have a common eigenvector

► Consider  $U = e^{-iH}$  s.t. det(U) = 1 or equivalently Tr(H) = 0

We can implement:  $U^t, TU^tT \ \forall t \ge 0$ , where  $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

• Consider 
$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & U(3) \\ 0 & U^t & |00\rangle = |00\rangle$$
  
 $TU^t T & |00\rangle = |00\rangle$ 

Generalization: U and T have a common eigenvector

• Consider  $U = e^{-iH}$  s.t. det(U) = 1 or equivalently Tr(H) = 0

$$\det (U^t) = \det (e^{-iHt}) = e^{-i\operatorname{Tr}(H)t} = e^0 = 1$$

We can implement:  $U^t, TU^tT \ \forall t \ge 0$ , where  $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

• Consider 
$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & U(3) \\ 0 & U^t & |00\rangle = |00\rangle$$
  
 $TU^t T & |00\rangle = |00\rangle$ 

Generalization: U and T have a common eigenvector

► Consider  $U = e^{-iH}$  s.t. det(U) = 1 or equivalently Tr(H) = 0

$$\det (U^t) = \det (e^{-iHt}) = e^{-i\operatorname{Tr}(H)t} = e^0 = 1$$
$$\det(TU^tT) = \det^2(T)\det(U^t) = (-1)^2 \cdot 1 = 1$$

Non-universal gates - resume

### $\boldsymbol{U}$ is non-universal if

- 1.  $U = A \otimes B$
- 2. U shares an eigenvector with T
- **3**.  $U \in \mathcal{SU}(4)$

Transformations that preserve universality

# T-similarity

#### Definition

Matrices A and B are said to be similar if there exists invertible matrix P s.t.  $A = PBP^{-1}$ .
### Definition

Matrices A and B are said to be similar if there exists invertible matrix P s.t.  $A = PBP^{-1}$ .

#### Definition

Matrices A and B are said to be *T*-similar if there exists *unitary* matrix P s.t.  $A = PBP^{\dagger}$  and [P,T] = 0.

Theorem

T-similar matrices have the same universality property.

Theorem

T-similar matrices have the same universality property.

Proof.

Assume A, B are T-similar i.e.  $B = PAP^{\dagger}$ , where [P, T] = 0. Suppose A is universal.

Theorem

T-similar matrices have the same universality property.

Proof.

$$U = e^{-iAt_1} e^{-iTATt_2} e^{-iAt_3} \dots e^{-iTATt_n}$$

Theorem

T-similar matrices have the same universality property.

### Proof.

$$U = e^{-iAt_1} e^{-iTATt_2} e^{-iAt_3} \dots e^{-iTATt_n}$$

$$e^{-iBt_1}e^{-iTBTt_2}e^{-iBt_3}\dots e^{-iTBTt_n} =$$

Theorem

T-similar matrices have the same universality property.

### Proof.

$$U = e^{-iAt_1} e^{-iTATt_2} e^{-iAt_3} \dots e^{-iTATt_n}$$

$$e^{-iBt_1}e^{-iTBTt_2}e^{-iBt_3}\dots e^{-iTBTt_n} =$$
  
=  $e^{-iPAP^{\dagger}t_1}e^{-iTPAP^{\dagger}Tt_2}e^{-iPAP^{\dagger}t_3}\dots e^{-iTPAP^{\dagger}Tt_n} =$ 

Theorem

T-similar matrices have the same universality property.

#### Proof.

$$U = e^{-iAt_1} e^{-iTATt_2} e^{-iAt_3} \dots e^{-iTATt_n}$$

$$e^{-iBt_1}e^{-iTBTt_2}e^{-iBt_3}\dots e^{-iTBTt_n} =$$
  
=  $e^{-iPAP^{\dagger}t_1}e^{-iTPAP^{\dagger}Tt_2}e^{-iPAP^{\dagger}t_3}\dots e^{-iTPAP^{\dagger}Tt_n} =$   
=  $e^{-iPAP^{\dagger}t_1}e^{-iPTATP^{\dagger}t_2}e^{-iPAP^{\dagger}t_3}\dots e^{-iPTATP^{\dagger}t_n} =$ 

Theorem

T-similar matrices have the same universality property.

### Proof.

$$U = e^{-iAt_1} e^{-iTATt_2} e^{-iAt_3} \dots e^{-iTATt_n}$$

$$e^{-iBt_1}e^{-iTBTt_2}e^{-iBt_3}\dots e^{-iTBTt_n} =$$

$$= e^{-iPAP^{\dagger}t_1}e^{-iTPAP^{\dagger}Tt_2}e^{-iPAP^{\dagger}t_3}\dots e^{-iTPAP^{\dagger}Tt_n} =$$

$$= e^{-iPAP^{\dagger}t_1}e^{-iPTATP^{\dagger}t_2}e^{-iPAP^{\dagger}t_3}\dots e^{-iPTATP^{\dagger}t_n} =$$

$$= Pe^{-iAt_1}P^{\dagger}Pe^{-iTATt_2}P^{\dagger}Pe^{-iAt_3}P^{\dagger}\dots Pe^{-iTATt_n}P^{\dagger} =$$

Theorem

T-similar matrices have the same universality property.

### Proof.

$$U = e^{-iAt_1} e^{-iTATt_2} e^{-iAt_3} \dots e^{-iTATt_n}$$

$$e^{-iBt_1}e^{-iTBTt_2}e^{-iBt_3}\dots e^{-iTBTt_n} =$$

$$= e^{-iPAP^{\dagger}t_1}e^{-iTPAP^{\dagger}Tt_2}e^{-iPAP^{\dagger}t_3}\dots e^{-iTPAP^{\dagger}Tt_n} =$$

$$= e^{-iPAP^{\dagger}t_1}e^{-iPTATP^{\dagger}t_2}e^{-iPAP^{\dagger}t_3}\dots e^{-iPTATP^{\dagger}t_n} =$$

$$= Pe^{-iAt_1}P^{\dagger}Pe^{-iTATt_2}P^{\dagger}Pe^{-iAt_3}P^{\dagger}\dots Pe^{-iTATt_n}P^{\dagger} =$$

$$= Pe^{-iAt_1}e^{-iTATt_2}e^{-iAt_3}\dots e^{-iTATt_n}P^{\dagger} =$$

Theorem

T-similar matrices have the same universality property.

### Proof.

$$U = e^{-iAt_1} e^{-iTATt_2} e^{-iAt_3} \dots e^{-iTATt_n}$$

$$e^{-iBt_1}e^{-iTBTt_2}e^{-iBt_3}\dots e^{-iTBTt_n} =$$

$$= e^{-iPAP^{\dagger}t_1}e^{-iTPAP^{\dagger}Tt_2}e^{-iPAP^{\dagger}t_3}\dots e^{-iTPAP^{\dagger}Tt_n} =$$

$$= e^{-iPAP^{\dagger}t_1}e^{-iPTATP^{\dagger}t_2}e^{-iPAP^{\dagger}t_3}\dots e^{-iPTATP^{\dagger}t_n} =$$

$$= Pe^{-iAt_1}P^{\dagger}Pe^{-iTATt_2}P^{\dagger}Pe^{-iAt_3}P^{\dagger}\dots Pe^{-iTATt_n}P^{\dagger} =$$

$$= Pe^{-iAt_1}e^{-iTATt_2}e^{-iAt_3}\dots e^{-iTATt_n}P^{\dagger} =$$

$$= PUP^{\dagger}$$

- 1.  $U \in \mathcal{SU}(4)$
- 2. U shares an eigenvector with T
- **3**.  $U = A \otimes B$

- 1.  $U \in \mathcal{SU}(4)$ :  $\det(PUP^{\dagger}) = \det(U) = 1$
- 2. U shares an eigenvector with T
- **3**.  $U = A \otimes B$

- 1.  $U \in \mathcal{SU}(4)$ : det $(PUP^{\dagger}) = det(U) = 1$  closed!
- 2. U shares an eigenvector with T
- **3**.  $U = A \otimes B$

- 1.  $U \in \mathcal{SU}(4)$ : det $(PUP^{\dagger}) = det(U) = 1$  closed!
- 2. U shares an eigenvector with T closed!
- **3**.  $U = A \otimes B$

- 1.  $U \in \mathcal{SU}(4)$ : det $(PUP^{\dagger}) = det(U) = 1$  closed!
- 2. U shares an eigenvector with T closed!
- 3.  $U = A \otimes B$  NOT closed!

- 1.  $U \in \mathcal{SU}(4)$ : det $(PUP^{\dagger}) = det(U) = 1$  closed!
- 2. U shares an eigenvector with T closed!
- 3. U is *T*-similar to  $A \otimes B$

### $\boldsymbol{U}$ is non-universal if

- 1.  $U \in \mathcal{SU}(4)$ : det $(PUP^{\dagger}) = det(U) = 1$  closed!
- 2. U shares an eigenvector with T closed!
- 3. U is T-similar to  $A \otimes B$

### Complication

It is not straightforward how to check, whether  $\boldsymbol{U}$  is T-similar to a tensor product.

# Introducing pattern

### Definition

Assume  $U \in \mathcal{U}(4)$  has eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  with corresponding eigenvectors  $|\psi_i\rangle$ . Then we define the pattern of U to be

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & \lambda_3 & \lambda_4 \\ s_1 & s_2 & s_3 & s_4 \end{cases},$$

where  $s_i = |\langle s | \psi_i \rangle|^2$  and  $|s\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|01\rangle - |10\rangle)$ .

# Introducing pattern

### Definition

Assume  $U \in \mathcal{U}(4)$  has eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  with corresponding eigenvectors  $|\psi_i\rangle$ . Then we define the pattern of U to be

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & \lambda_3 & \lambda_4 \\ s_1 & s_2 & s_3 & s_4 \end{cases},$$

where 
$$s_i = |\langle s | \psi_i \rangle|^2$$
 and  $|s\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|01\rangle - |10\rangle)$ .

Why singlet?

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

# Introducing pattern

### Definition

Assume  $U \in \mathcal{U}(4)$  has eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  with corresponding eigenvectors  $|\psi_i\rangle$ . Then we define the pattern of U to be

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & \lambda_3 & \lambda_4 \\ s_1 & s_2 & s_3 & s_4 \end{cases},$$

where 
$$s_i = |\langle s | \psi_i \rangle|^2$$
 and  $|s\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|01\rangle - |10\rangle)$ .

Why singlet?

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$E_{-} = \operatorname{span}\{|01\rangle - |10\rangle\} \qquad E_{+} = \operatorname{span}\{|00\rangle, |01\rangle + |10\rangle, |11\rangle\}$$

# T-similarity and patterns

Theorem  $U, V \in \mathcal{U}(4)$  are *T*-similar iff they have the same patterns.

# T-similarity and patterns

Theorem  $U, V \in \mathcal{U}(4)$  are *T*-similar iff they have the same patterns.

Theorem

 $U \in \mathcal{U}(4)$  is T-similar to a tensor product iff U has pattern of the form

# T-similarity and patterns

Theorem  $U, V \in \mathcal{U}(4)$  are *T*-similar iff they have the same patterns.

### Theorem

 $U \in \mathcal{U}(4)$  is T-similar to a tensor product iff U has pattern of the form

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_{11} \quad \lambda_{12} \quad \lambda_{21} \quad \lambda_{22} \\ s \quad t \quad t \quad s \end{cases} , \text{ where } \lambda_{11}\lambda_{22} = \lambda_{12}\lambda_{21}.$$

- 1. U is T-similar to a tensor product
- 2. U shares an eigenvector with T
- **3**.  $U \in \mathcal{SU}(4)$

# Proving universality

Given Hamiltonians  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , we can simulate evolution according to:

▶ linear combination  $\alpha H_1 + \beta H_2$ , for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

Given Hamiltonians  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , we can simulate evolution according to:

- ▶ linear combination  $\alpha H_1 + \beta H_2$ , for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,
- commutator  $i[H_1, H_2] = i(H_1H_2 H_2H_1)$ .

Given Hamiltonians  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , we can simulate evolution according to:

- ▶ linear combination  $\alpha H_1 + \beta H_2$ , for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,
- commutator  $i[H_1, H_2] = i(H_1H_2 H_2H_1)$ .

Definition Lie algebra  $\mathcal{L}(H_1, H_2)$  generated by  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  satisfies: 1.  $H_1, H_2 \in \mathcal{L}$ ,

Given Hamiltonians  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , we can simulate evolution according to:

- ▶ linear combination  $\alpha H_1 + \beta H_2$ , for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,
- commutator  $i[H_1, H_2] = i(H_1H_2 H_2H_1)$ .

#### Definition

Lie algebra  $\mathcal{L}(H_1, H_2)$  generated by  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  satisfies:

- 1.  $H_1, H_2 \in \mathcal{L}$ ,
- 2.  $A, B \in \mathcal{L} \Rightarrow \alpha A + \beta B \in \mathcal{L}$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

Given Hamiltonians  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , we can simulate evolution according to:

- ▶ linear combination  $\alpha H_1 + \beta H_2$ , for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,
- commutator  $i[H_1, H_2] = i(H_1H_2 H_2H_1)$ .

#### Definition

Lie algebra  $\mathcal{L}(H_1, H_2)$  generated by  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  satisfies:

- 1.  $H_1, H_2 \in \mathcal{L}$ ,
- 2.  $A, B \in \mathcal{L} \Rightarrow \alpha A + \beta B \in \mathcal{L}$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,
- 3.  $A, B \in \mathcal{L} \Rightarrow i[A, B] = i(AB BA) \in \mathcal{L}.$

Given Hamiltonians  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , we can simulate evolution according to:

- ▶ linear combination  $\alpha H_1 + \beta H_2$ , for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,
- commutator  $i[H_1, H_2] = i(H_1H_2 H_2H_1)$ .

#### Definition

Lie algebra  $\mathcal{L}(H_1, H_2)$  generated by  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  satisfies:

- 1.  $H_1, H_2 \in \mathcal{L}$ ,
- 2.  $A, B \in \mathcal{L} \Rightarrow \alpha A + \beta B \in \mathcal{L}$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,
- 3.  $A, B \in \mathcal{L} \Rightarrow i[A, B] = i(AB BA) \in \mathcal{L}.$

Think of  $\mathcal{L}$  as a *vector space* with operation  $i[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}$ .

Given Hamiltonians  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , we can simulate evolution according to:

- ▶ linear combination  $\alpha H_1 + \beta H_2$ , for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,
- commutator  $i[H_1, H_2] = i(H_1H_2 H_2H_1)$ .

#### Definition

Lie algebra  $\mathcal{L}(H_1, H_2)$  generated by  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  satisfies:

- 1.  $H_1, H_2 \in \mathcal{L}$ ,
- 2.  $A, B \in \mathcal{L} \Rightarrow \alpha A + \beta B \in \mathcal{L}$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,
- 3.  $A, B \in \mathcal{L} \Rightarrow i[A, B] = i(AB BA) \in \mathcal{L}.$

Think of  $\mathcal{L}$  as a vector space with operation  $i[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}$ . In our case  $H_1 = H$  and  $H_2 = THT$ .

Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula

$$e^{-iH_1t_1}e^{-iH_2t_2} = e^{-iH}$$
$$H = H_1t_1 + H_2t_2 - \frac{t_1t_2}{2}i[H_1, H_2] + \frac{t_1^2t_2}{12}i[H_1, i[H_1, H_2]] + \frac{t_1t_2^2}{12}i[H_2, i[H_2, H_1]] + \dots$$

Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula

$$e^{-iH_1t_1}e^{-iH_2t_2} = e^{-iH}$$
$$H = H_1t_1 + H_2t_2 - \frac{t_1t_2}{2}i[H_1, H_2] + \frac{t_1^2t_2}{12}i[H_1, i[H_1, H_2]] + \frac{t_1t_2^2}{12}i[H_2, i[H_2, H_1]] + \dots$$

### Corollary

We can simulate  $U \in \mathcal{U}(4)$  using H and THT iff  $U = e^{-iL}$  for some  $L \in \mathcal{L}(H, THT)$ .

Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula

$$e^{-iH_1t_1}e^{-iH_2t_2} = e^{-iH}$$
$$H = H_1t_1 + H_2t_2 - \frac{t_1t_2}{2}i[H_1, H_2] + \frac{t_1^2t_2}{12}i[H_1, i[H_1, H_2]] + \frac{t_1t_2^2}{12}i[H_2, i[H_2, H_1]] + \dots$$

### Corollary

We can simulate  $U \in \mathcal{U}(4)$  using H and THT iff  $U = e^{-iL}$  for some  $L \in \mathcal{L}(H, THT)$ .

#### Universality condition

Hamiltonian H is universal iff H and THT generate the whole Lie algebra of U(4).

Matrix basis Pauli matrices form a *basis* of all  $2 \times 2$  Hermitian matrices:

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \sigma_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \sigma_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \sigma_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

A basis of  $4 \times 4$  Hermitian matrices consists of 16 elements.

### Matrix basis Pauli matrices form a *basis* of all $2 \times 2$ Hermitian matrices:

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \sigma_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \sigma_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \sigma_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

A basis of  $4 \times 4$  Hermitian matrices consists of 16 elements.

### Universality certificate

To show that H is universal, provide a list of expressions containing only commutators and linear combinations of H and THT that give 16 linearly independent matrices (e.g., basis elements).
## Matrix basis Pauli matrices form a *basis* of all $2 \times 2$ Hermitian matrices:

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \sigma_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \sigma_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \sigma_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

A basis of  $4 \times 4$  Hermitian matrices consists of 16 elements.

# Universality certificate

To show that H is universal, provide a list of expressions containing only commutators and linear combinations of H and THT that give 16 linearly independent matrices (e.g., basis elements).

## Commutator scheme

Since commutator is linear in both arguments, it suffices to use only commutators. We call such list a commutator scheme.

## Matrix basis Pauli matrices form a *basis* of all $2 \times 2$ Hermitian matrices:

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \sigma_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \sigma_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \sigma_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

A basis of  $4 \times 4$  Hermitian matrices consists of 16 elements.

# Universality certificate

To show that H is universal, provide a list of expressions containing only commutators and linear combinations of H and THT that give 16 linearly independent matrices (e.g., basis elements).

## Commutator scheme

Since commutator is linear in both arguments, it suffices to use only commutators. We call such list a commutator scheme.

## Example

 $H, THT, i[H, THT], i[H, i[H, THT]], i[THT, i[H, THT]], \ldots$ 

# Proving universality

# Algorithm

1. let  $S_0 = \{H, THT\}$ 

#### 2. repeat

- 3. compute  $C = S_{i-1} \cup \{i[A, B] | A, B \in S_{i-1}\}$
- 4. take  $S_i$  to be any basis of  $\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}} C$
- 5. **until** span<sub> $\mathbb{R}$ </sub>  $S_i = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}} S_{i-1}$
- 6. *H* is universal iff  $S_i$  span all  $4 \times 4$  Hermitian matrices.

# Summary and open questions

# Summary

## Theorem

Unitary  $\boldsymbol{U}$  is non-universal iff at least one of the following holds

- 1. U is T-similar to  $A\otimes B$
- 2. U shares an eigenvector with T
- **3**.  $U \in \mathcal{SU}(4)$

# Summary

### Theorem

Unitary U is non-universal iff at least one of the following holds

- 1. U is T-similar to  $A\otimes B$
- 2. U shares an eigenvector with T
- **3**.  $U \in \mathcal{SU}(4)$

### Theorem

Hamiltonian H is non-universal iff at least one of the following holds

- 1. *H* is *T*-similar to  $H_1 \otimes I + I \otimes H_2$
- 2. H shares an eigenvector with T
- **3**. Tr(H) = 0

# Open questions

1. Which 2-qubit Hamiltonians become universal if we allow ancilla?

# Open questions

- 1. Which 2-qubit Hamiltonians become universal if we allow ancilla?
- 2. Which 2-qubit Hamiltonians give us encoded universality (e.g. generate O(4))?

# Open questions

- 1. Which 2-qubit Hamiltonians become universal if we allow ancilla?
- 2. Which 2-qubit Hamiltonians give us encoded universality (e.g. generate O(4))?
- Which 2-qubit Hamiltonians become universal on n qubits (e.g. take n = 3)?

# Thank you!